UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,639	12/26/2006	Willbald Konrath	4015-5820	5757
24112 COATS & BEN	7590 07/08/200 NETT. PLLC	EXAMINER		
1400 Crescent (Green, Suite 300	ARBES, CARL J		
Cary, NC 27518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/576,639	KONRATH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. J. Arbes	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 11-21 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. o⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to	-
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	difficient included office	7. CHOT OF TOTHER 10 102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicati In rity documents have been receive U (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date https://example.com/html/persin/	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3729

Claims 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is not seen or understood how the circuit component can be properly placed onto a circuit substrate by a gripper that will place the component by means of ... turning the gripper towards the surface of the circuit substrate a first time It cannot be understood how the circuit component will be properly placed onto the circuit substrate.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-21, assuming that the disclosure is enabling, are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants recite ...removing the gripper towards of the circuit substrate a first time to a target distance from the surface at which adhesive is applied between the circuit component and the circuit substrate, releasing the circuit component and removing the gripper from the circuit component, turning the gripper around an axis perpendicular to the surface of the circuit substrate..., and moving the gripper to the target distance a second time and removing the gripper. There are at least 3 issues with respect to clarity and vagueness here. What do Applicants mean by reciting the

language... turning the gripper around an axis perpendicular to the surface of the circuit substrate? This is not clearly defined since the axis can be perpendicular to the component's lengthwise measurement. At any rate this language is held not to properly or clearly define Applicants' invention. Moreover what do Applicants intend or mean when they recite that they move the gripper for a second time to the target distance. The Examiner is confused as to what this means. After the component is released why do Applicants perform the 2nd gripper moving step. It seems to have been redundant. Moreover what do applicants intend by the language "target distance" in their claims? Is a target distance some length that is an optimum distance? From where or what point is is this distance reckoned? What is a preferred "target distance"? As further applied to claim 13 it is held that the recital of ...moving the gripper to a detected local coordinate. ... is abstruse, vague and indefinite. It is requested that Applicants provide the Examiner the portions of the Specification that teaches where or how to determine where the ... detected local coordinate... is located.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. J. Arbes whose telephone number is 571-272-4563. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, R and F from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Vo, can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/576,639 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/C. J. Arbes/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729